FIRST ROUND
Dear Sir,
Thank you for your e-mail and the valuable comments/suggestions of the reviewers.
Please find enclosed our manuscript entitled "Rate of post COVID-19 symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis" modified according to the valuable recommendations of the reviewers; Modifications in RED.
Here we answer all inquiries/suggestions of the reviewers:

Reviewer B:

1. Relevance of the title to the content of the article: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

2. Summary: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

3. Introduction: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

4. Methodology: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

5. Ethical aspects. Yes
   Answer: Systematic reviews and Meta-analysis are exempted from Ethical Committees Approvals.

6. Results: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

7. Discussion: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

8. Conclusions: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

9. References. Good
   Authors should correct the references according to the Vancouver format, for example: "Davies B, Jameson P. Advanced economics. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013."
   Answer: All references now follow Vancouver style.

10. Redaction. Needs some language corrections
    Answer: English style was improved.

11. Contributions. The introduction is quite long, they could have written it better. Also in the methodology they could include the time period of the articles searched. On the other hand there are some grammar mistakes.
    Answer: Modified and updated.
    Answer: English style was improved.
Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Accept Submission
1. Relevance of the title to the content of the article: Good
   The title is interesting and informative
   Answer: Thank you.

2. Summary: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

3. Introduction: Good
   The introduction section is long as need and authors are focused on their topic.
   Answer: Modified and updated.

4. Methodology: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

5. Ethical aspects. No
   Answer: Systematic reviews and Meta-analysis are exempted from Ethical Committees Approvals.

6. Results: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

7. Discussion: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

8. Conclusions: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

9. References. Good
   Answer: All references now follow Vancouver style.

10. Redaction. Acceptable
    Answer: English style was improved.

11. Contributions. Dear editor; The paper is interesting and well constructed.
    Answer: Thank you.

Reviewer D:
1. Relevance of the title to the content of the article: Good
   Answer: Thank you.

2. Summary: Regular
   Answer: Thank you.

3. Introduction: Regular
   Answer: Thank you.
4. Methodology: Good
Answer: Thank you.

5. Ethical aspects. No
Answer: Systematic reviews and Meta-analysis are exempted from Ethical Committees Approvals.
Ethical Considerations: Added after the statistical analysis section.

6. Results: Good
Answer: Thank you.

7. Discussion: Regular
Answer: Thank you.

8. Conclusions: Regular
Answer: Thank you.

9. References. Poor
Arnold et al., 2020 is not listed in the Reference list.
Lu et al. (2020) is not listed in the Reference list.
Sollini et al. (2021) is not listed in the Reference list.
The year of many references in the text do not match with the Reference list.
Answer: All references now follow Vancouver style.

10. Redaction. Needs some language corrections
Answer: English style was improved.

11. Contributions.
There is a wide leak in References.
The last paragraph in the Discussion is written in a very poor style.
Answer: Modified and updated.

SECOND ROUND
Dear Sir,
Thank you for your e-mail and the valuable comments/suggestions of the reviewers.
Please find enclosed our manuscript entitled "Rate of post COVID-19 symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis" modified according to the valuable recommendations of the reviewers; Modifications in RED.
Here we answer all inquiries/suggestions of the reviewers:

REVIEWERS’S COMMENTS
Reviewer A:
Abstract?
Answer: Done.

The authors must clarify the conclusion according to the objective of the research.
Answer: Conclusion section in the abstract and conclusions at the end of the manuscript were modified.
The grammar must be improved.
Answer: Done.

Improve the quality of figure (1), the prism flow diagram is not clearly seen.
Answer: A separate JPEG file with resolution is included.

Within the exclusion criteria, they do not exclude people with important comorbidities such as cancer, diabetes, neurodegenerative problems, pregnant women, etc. These patients have consequences of the state or disease.
Answer: Exclusion criteria were modified.

Reviewer B:
I see that authors are responded point by point to all of reviewers’ comments, so I suggest accepting publishing this paper on your prestigious journal.
Answer: Thank you.

Reviewer C:
Keywords: Too long?
Answer: Few words were deleted.

Authors Arrange Alphabetically (Page 6).
Answer: Done. (Arnold et al., 2020, Halpin et al., 2020, Mandal et al., 2020)

Table (2): Characteristics of included studies evaluating frequency of post COVID-19 symptoms, by countries.

Table 3. We arranged the years in an ascending style.

Table 4: Arrange the years in a descending or descending style
Answer: Done. Arranged in an ascending style.

Table 5: Arrange the years in a descending or descending style
Answer: Done. Arranged in an ascending style.

Table 6: Arrange the years in a descending or descending style
Answer: Done. Arranged in an ascending style.

Table 9: Arrange the years in a descending or descending style
Answer: Done. Arranged in an ascending style.

Table 10: Arrange the years in a descending or descending style
Answer: Done. Arranged in an ascending style.

Table 11: Arrange the years in a descending or descending style
Answer: Done. Arranged in an ascending style.

Table 7
We arranged the years in an ascending style.

Table 8
We arranged the years in an ascending style.

Table 12
We arranged the years in an ascending style.

Discussion
Page 15. (Groff et al., 2021; Lopez-Leon et al., 2021; O’Mahoney et al., 2023)
We arranged the years in an ascending style.

(Shaheen et al., 2022).
Answer: Corrected.

(Wong et al., 2021).
Answer: Corrected.

Page 16. Garrigues et al., 2020; Brutto et al., 2021; Morin et al., 2021; Jacobson et al., 2021; Weihe et al., 2022.
Answer: Corrected.

Answer: Corrected.