Dear Sir,
Thank you for your e-mail and the valuable comments/suggestions of the reviewers.
Please find enclosed our manuscript entitled "Antiviral Drugs for Treatment of Human Monkeypox: A Systematic Review/Meta-analysis: Antivirals for Monkeypox" modified according to the valuable recommendations of the reviewers; Modifications in RED.
Here we answer all inquiries/suggestions of the reviewers:

FIRST ROUND
Reviewer A:
5. Ethical aspects. Does the manuscript have a paragraph on ethical aspects, where it mentions approval by the ethics committee, informed consent, and strict compliance with research ethics? No
Answer: Systematic reviews and Meta-analysis are exempted from Ethical Committees Approvals.

9. References. Quality of bibliographic references and if they are in accordance with the Vancouver format. Poor
Answer: All references now follow Vancouver style.

10. Redaction. Is the manuscript correctly written? Does it contain any spelling or grammar mistakes? Needs some language corrections.
Answer: Done.

11. Contributions. What are the main weaknesses of the manuscript and how the author can do to improve it
Answer:
Small number of included clinical trials that investigate monkeypox treatment. limited number of patients.
This systematic review includes only systemic antivirals not topical one. Till now, no antiviral founded to be FDA approved for monkeypox treatment.

JUSTIFICATION
Before the aim, lucid and concise justification for the study should be provided.
Answer: Done.

INTRODUCTION
The introduction can be improved by the following:
Succinct information on Epidemiology of the disease should be included.
Answer: Done
Antiviral drugs previously tested for the treatment of human monkeypox like trifluridine should be included in the introduction aspect.
Answer: This study includes only systemic antiviral, topical one was excluded. This was reported in the limitations of study.
Introduction can be made more robust by capturing antiviral drugs that offer cross protection to Monkey-pox disease.
Answer: Done.

RESULTS
What does N in Table 2 Represent? if it's just serial numbers, then the numbering should be corrected.
Answer: Done.

Language use in Table 2 should be checked, for instance, for study 14 "Eligible and consented participants will be randomized 1:1 to receive " also for study 15, "In this study, 530 eligible participants will be randomized", likewise study 16 "The study will provide evidence on the efficacy and safety"
Answer: Done.

DISCUSSION: Authors should enhance the discussion by providing: More detailed information on the pharmacodynamics/pharmacokinetics data.
Answer: Done.

REFERENCING: inconsistent referencing Style
Answer: All references now follow Vancouver style.

CONCLUSION: The conclusion is too terse.
Answer: Modified.

Reviewer B:
Summary: Presents the general idea of the topic, objectives, research methods, results and conclusions, written in an objective and concise manner; and are found according to the maximum number of words per section. Poor
Answer: Done

Redaction: Is the manuscript correctly written? Does it contain any spelling or grammar mistakes? Requires a thorough assessment of grammar and spelling.
Answer: Done

Contributions: What are the main weaknesses of the manuscript and how the author can do to improve it: Manuscript is filled of flaws author need to rearrange the manuscript in correct order.
Answer: Done

SECOND ROUND
Reviewer A:
REVIEWERS’S COMMENTS

ABSTRACT
Inconsistency in writing monkeypox. Do not capitalize the name of the disease.
Answer: Done.

Capitalize the names all drugs, e.g. Tecovirimat, Cidofovir, Brincidofovir.
Answer: Done.

KEYWORDS
What is the relevance of October 6 University to your studies? It should be deleted.
Answer: Done. October 6 University Deleted.

Monkeypox which is the focus of the manuscript is conspicuously omitted as part of the keywords.
Answer: Done. Monkeypox Added.

INTRODUCTION
Abbreviations like Rab9 GTPase, TIP47 should be written in full first time, before subsequent abbreviations in the write-up.
Answer: Done.

Justification for the study is still not stated.
Answer: Done.

Till date, there is limited consensus on the optimal treatment strategy for human monkeypox.