

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

---

Thank you on thinking on me about the paper title: Our Overall Current Knowledge of Covid  
19: An Overview

It's a normal paper. It's well constructed and well written, but everything is known from the  
readers. If the interest of the journal is to accept this paper, you can.

The references are well performed

Best wishes

-----

-----

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

1. Relevance of the title to the content of the article

Good

Remarks

2. Summary: Presents the general idea of the topic, objectives, research methods, results and conclusions, written in an objective and concise manner; and are found according to the maximum number of words per section.

Regular

Remarks

3. Introduction: Presentation of the subject, justification of the problem, objectives, hypotheses and methodological foundation, exposing the subject in an orderly and detailed manner

Regular

Remarks

4. Methodology: Describes the procedure, methods and techniques used in data collection and analysis.

Regular

Remarks

5. Ethical aspects. Does the manuscript have a paragraph on ethical aspects, where it mentions approval by the ethics committee, informed consent, and strict compliance with research ethics?

No

6. Results: They are presented adequately and it is not redundant with tables or graphs shown.

Regular

Remarks

7. Discussion: They present a level of critical analysis in correspondence with the problem presented. Purposes of the article, scope, support theory and proposed methodological design.

Regular

Remarks

8. Conclusions: Presents the author's inferences and teachings in relation to the investigated topic, it must correspond to the objectives of the study.

Poor

Remarks

9. References. Quality of bibliographic references and if they are in accordance with the Vancouver format.

Regular

Remarks

10. Redaction. Is the manuscript correctly written? Does it contain any spelling or grammar mistakes?

Acceptable

11. Contributions. What are the main weaknesses of the manuscript and how the author can do to improve it

the recommendations are loaded in the manuscript form.

They mention that COVID-19 has a wide range of symptoms, what are they? And why only mention those symptoms? I recommend improving the sentences and linking them to a general thought.

Specifically for what would the TAC serve? Example?

The conclusion would not only be that COVID-19 is a current problem. I recommend making a solid argument for our current knowledge. for example: "The SARS-CoV-2 is more communicable than other coronavirus"

There are some references that are not in vancouver. Correct, please

-----

Dear editor,

The corrections suggested by the reviewers are reported in the manuscript, in red.

Changes are made to the conclusion as well as to the references part.

Sincerely yours,

Asma TADJ and Sidi Mohammed Lahbib SEDDIKI